Cancer survival: principles, methods and applications Exercises on secondary measures

Paul W. Dickman

February 2023

Contents

1	Downloading user-written Stata commands and data files	2
	1.1 Downloading the course files	2
	1.2 Installing Stata user-written commands for relative survival	2
2	Exercises	5
	244. Age-standardised relative survival (model-based, external standard)	5
	250. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (life table relative survival) .	8
	251. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (relative survival model) $$	9
	260. Fitting cure models	11
	261. Fitting cure models using flexible parametric models	13
	270. Conditional survival	15
	282. Excess and 'avoidable' deaths from life tables	18
	287. Using standsurv for all cause survival and avoidable deaths	20
	284. Estimating loss in expectation of life	24
	288. Using standsurv for life expectancy	27
3	Solutions	32
	250. Crude probability of death from life tables	32
	251. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (relative survival model) $$.	38
	260. Parametric cure models	40
	261. Cure models using flexible parametric survival models	47
	282. Excess and 'avoidable' deaths from life tables	52
	284. Estimating loss in expectation of life	55

4 References

1 Downloading user-written Stata commands and data files

1.1 Downloading the course files

The course files (e.g., data files and solution do files) are distributed as a Stata package so should be downloaded from within Stata. It is suggested that you create a new directory, change the Stata working directory to the new directory (e.g., cd c:\survival\), and then download the files. You can create a new directory in Windows Explorer or you can do it from within Stata as follows.

mkdir c:\survival cd c:\survival

Use the pwd command to confirm you are in the working directory you wish to use for the course and then issue the following command from the Stata command line to install the course files.

net install http://www.pauldickman.com/survival/secondary_measures, all replace

net install downloads the files and copies them to appropriate directories according to the way Stata is setup. Ancillary files (e.g., PDF, XLS, DTA) are copied to the current working directory; ADO and HLP files are installed into the appropriate directory according to the way Stata is configured.

1.2 Installing Stata user-written commands for relative survival

Standard Stata does not contain any commands for estimating and modelling relative survival so we must extend Stata using commands written by users. Download and installation is done within Stata. It is recommended that you change the Stata working directory to the course directory (e.g., cd c:\survival\) before issuing these commands.

1.2.1 How can I check if these commands are already installed?

You can use the which command to check if (and where) a Stata command is installed.

```
. which stpm2
c:\ado\plus\s\stpm2.ado
*! version 1.7.6 18Jan2023
```

Use the adoupdate command to update previously installed user-written commands (note that this is distinct from the update command that updates official Stata commands). Simply type adoupdate, update to update all user-written commands.

1.2.2 strs - estimating and modelling relative survival

The strs command, written by Paul Dickman and Enzo Coviello can be downloaded by typing the following:

. net install http://www.pauldickman.com/rsmodel/stata_colon/strs, all replace

Note that some of the data files are contained in both the strs and the course_files packages, hence the need for the replace option. See https://pauldickman.com/software/strs/ for further details about the command or read the Stata help file after installation. The command is described in a Stata Journal article [1].

1.2.3 stpm2 - flexible parametric models

The stpm2 command, written by Paul Lambert and Patrick Royston, fits flexible parametric survival models (so called Royston-Parmar models). Relative survival models can be fitted using the bhazard() option. It is installed from within Stata using the following commands:

ssc install stpm2
ssc install rcsgen

The command is described in a Stata Journal article [2]. rcsgen is a command for generating basis vectors for restricted cubic splines and is required by stpm2. Flexible parametric cure models (fitted using an option to stpm2) are described in another Stata Journal article [3].

Further details at https://pclambert.net/software/stpm2/

1.2.4 standsurv - standardized survival and related functions

The standsurv command, written by Paul Lambert, estimates standardized survival curves and related measures. It also allows various contrasts between the standardized functions. It is a post-estimation command and can be used after fitting a wide range of survival models, including streg (except generalized gamma), stpm2 and strcs. It is installed from within Stata:

ssc install standsurv

Further details at https://pclambert.net/software/standsurv/

1.2.5 strsmix and strsnmix - cure models

To install strsmix and strsmix (commands for fitting cure models) first type findit lambert cure then click on the Stata Journal link followed by *click to install*. These commands are described in a Stata Journal article [4].

1.2.6 Estimating probability of death in a competing risks framework

The stcompet command estimates the cumulative incidence function (CIF) non-parametrically. The stcompadj command estimates the CIF using a competing risks analogue of the Cox model. The stpm2cm command estimates the crude probabilities of death (i.e., CIF) after fitting a relative survival model using stpm2. The stpm2cif command estimates the CIF through postestimation after fitting a cause-specific competing risks model using stpm2.

```
ssc install stcompet
ssc install stcompadj
ssc install stpm2cm
ssc install stpm2cif
```

The stpm2cif command is described in a Stata Journal article [5].

2 Exercises

244. Age standardization using flexible parametric models (external standard)

This question uses stpm2 to obtain model-based age-standardized estimates of relative survival. The analytic approach is similar to exercise ?? where we used a model-based approach with an internal standard (that is, we standardised to the age distribution of the patient cohort). We will now age-standardise using an external standard population, namely the International Cancer Survival Standard (ICSS) [6]. The estimates should be similar to those obtained in exercise ?? where we also age-standardised using ICSS weights, but used a nonparametric (life-table) rather than a model-based approach.

(a) Run the code in q244.do up to and including tab agegroup to read the data, merge in the external rates, and create variables for analysis. Ensure you understand what each code segment does (ask if you are uncertain) and then look at the age distribution.

Age group	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
15-44	647	30.23	30.23
45-54	397	18.55	48.79
55-64	464	21.68	70.47
65-74	401	18.74	89.21
75+	231	10.79	100.00
+			
Total	2,140	100.00	

from the crude (not age standardised) net survival?

. tab agegrp, gen(agegrp)

Exercise 243 contains a table of International Cancer Survival Standard (ICSS) weights for broad age groups. Identify the appropriate weights for melanoma and compare the ICSS weights to age distribution of the melanoma patients (i.e., the table above). Based on this comparison and the knowledge that net survival declines with increasing age at diagnosis, how to you expect the age-standardised net survival to differ

(b) We will now create an individual weight for each observation, calculated as the proportion of patients in the given age group in the standard population (ICSSwt) divided by the proportion in that age group in our patient data (a_age). Age groups which are under-represented in our data (compared to the standard population) will receive a weight greater than one (and vice versa).

```
recode agegrp (1=0.28) (2=0.17) (3=0.21) (4=0.20) (5=0.14), gen(ICSSwt)
local total= _N
bysort agegrp: generate a_age = _N/'total'
generate w = ICSSwt/a_age
```

 $_N$ is a Stata system variable containing the total number of observations in the dataset. However, when we reference $_N$ within bysort its value will be the number of observations within the by group. In the code above we write the total number of observations to a local macro variable (total). In this way, we generate the variable a_age to contain the proportion of observations in each age group.

(c) Let's have a look at the values of the weights.

. tabstat w, statistics(mean min max) by(agegrp)

agegrp | mean min max 15-44 | .9261205 .9261205 .9261205 .9163728 45-54 l .9163728 .9163728 55-64 | .9685345 .9685345 .9685345 65-74 | 1.067332 1.067332 1.067332 75+ | 1.29697 1.29697 1,29697

We see that the weights are constant within each age group and that patients aged 45–54 will be slightly down-weighted (18.5% of our cohort compared to 17% in the standard population) whereas patients in age group 65–74 will be slightly up-weighted (18.7% of our cohort compared to 20% in the standard population). The age distribution of our cohort is slightly younger than that of the standard population, so we expect the age-standardised estimates to be slightly lower than the crude estimates.

(d) We have created the weights, but will not use them just yet. We now fit a flexible parametric model adjusting for calendar year of diagnosis (as a restricted cubic spline) and age group.

```
. stpm2 agegrp2 agegrp3 agegrp4 agegrp5, scale(h) df(4) eform ///
> tvc(agegrp2 agegrp3 agegrp4 agegrp5) dftvc(1) bhazard(rate)
```

We will now predict the marginal (population-averaged) survival function for the cohort, both with and without standardisation.

We first create a temporary time variable (temptime) so as to predict survival for each of 101 unique values of time (every 0.1 years from 0 to 10) rather than for each of the 5,308 observations in the data set.

```
. range temptime 0 10 101
```

. predict s_unweighted, meansurv timevar(temptime)

```
. // marginal (population-averaged) survival standardised to ICSS
```

```
. predict s_weighted, meansurv timevar(temptime) meansurvwt(w)
```

We start with predicting the unweighted (unstandardised) marginal survival. At each of the values of time, we predict the marginal (population-averaged) survival using the **meansurv** option to the predict command. For time=0, the marginal survival is trivially 1. The next value of temptime is 0.1. What meansurv effectively does is predict the survival at time 0.1 for each of the 5,308 observations in the data set (conditional on covariates for each observation) and then takes the average of these 5,308 observations. This continues for each of the other values of temptime.

Another way of conceptualising this, is that for each of the 5,308 observations in the data set we predict the survivor function (from time 0 to 10) for the individual with given values of year and age. We then average the 5,308 individual survival curves to get the marginal survival curve. This is stored in the variable s_unweighted.

To get the age-standardised marginal (population-averaged) net survival, we use the same procedure but apply weights when averaging the individual survival curves. The age-standardised estimates are stored in **s_weighted**. Predicting and averaging a large number of individual survival curves can be computationally intensive.

(e) Compare the values of s_unweighted and s_unweighted at 1, 5, and 10 years. Before looking at the estimates, estimate the magnitude of the difference you expect to see.

```
. list temptime s_unweighted s_weighted if inlist(temptime, 1, 5, 10)
```

There is code in q244.do to graph the standardised and unstandardised survival curves.

(f) Repeat the exercise using the colon cancer data; you will need to change the ICSS weights. The colon cancer patients are older than the standard population so you will see differences between the age-standardised and unstandardised estimates.

250. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (life table relative survival)

strs implements the approach proposed by Cronin and Feuer (2000) [7] for estimating the crude probability of death based on life table estimates of relative survival. We explore the life table approach in this question. Lambert *et al.* (2010) [8] subsequently showed how the estimates can be obtained after fitting a relative survival model, namely a flexible parametric models for relative survival, which use restricted cubic splines for the baseline cumulative excess hazard and for any time-dependent effects. The approach using flexible parametric models for relative survival is covered in question 251. Although the two approaches estimate the same quantity, the life table approach provides estimates for grouped data so we get an estimated probability for an age group rather than an estimate for a specific age as can be obtained in the model-based approach.

- (a) Load the Melanoma data, drop subjects diagnosed 1975–1984 and then and use strs to obtain life-tables stratified by age group and sex. Use the cuminc option to obtain the crude probabilities of death due to cancer and due to other causes.
- (b) How is the probability of death due to all causes, F, calculated?
- (c) Why is the crude probability of death due to cancer, ci_dc similar to the all-cause probability of death for subjects aged 0-44?
- (d) For both males and females aged 60-74 what is the probability of death due to allcauses at 5 years post diagnosis? What two variables can be added together to give the probability of death due to all-causes?
- (e) What proportion of the all-cause deaths at 5 years post diagnosis are due to cancer and due to other causes for males? Compare these figures for the different age groups.
- (f) The age groups are fairly wide, explain how you would expect the crude probability of death due to cancer to differ between a 60 and 74 year old, even if the relative survival was identical.
- (g) Plot the net probability of death, the crude probability of death due to cancer and the overall probability of death for males by age group. Try to understand the relationship between these various measures.

251. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (relative survival model)

In exercise 250 we explored how one could estimate crude probabilities of death based on life table estimates of relative survival making use of the **strs** implementation of the approach proposed by Cronin and Feuer (2000) [7]. Lambert *et al.* (2010) [8] subsequently showed how the estimates can be obtained after fitting a relative survival model, namely a flexible parametric models for relative survival, which use restricted cubic splines for the baseline cumulative excess hazard and for any time-dependent effects. Although the two approaches estimate the same quantity, the life table approach provides estimates for grouped data so we get an estimated probability for an age group rather than an estimate for a specific age as can be obtained in the model-based approach.

(a) Load the Melanoma data and merge in the background mortality rates as in question ??. Fit a flexible parametric relative survival model including age group with time-dependent effects.

```
. tab agegrp, gen(agegrp)
. stpm2 agegrp2-agegrp4, scale(hazard) bhazard(rate) df(5) ///
        tvc(agegrp2-agegrp4) dftvc(2)
```

Calculate the estimated net probability of death (1 - relative survival) and plot the four curves on a single graph. Interpret the plot.

(b) Use the standsurv command to estimate the crude probability of death. Note that standsurv will predict for individual covariate patterns and for specific ages at diagnosis. Perform the predictions for males aged 40, 55, 70 and 80 diagnosed in 1985. As we are only making predictions for one individual, we need to create a variable with age at diagnosis and date at diagnosis for the healthy individual to match to. This is used as the prediction for the expected survival. We also define a user-defined mata function calc_allcause to calculate the all-cause failure function as a sum of the two at() options. The prediction for a 40 year old (the first age group) can be obtained using,

```
. mata function calc_allcause(at) return(at[1]+at[2])
. range temptime2 0 5 101
. gen aged = .
. gen dated = mdy(1, 1, 1985) in 1
. replace aged = 40 in 1
. standsurv if _n==1, at1(sex 1 agegrp2 0 agegrp3 0 agegrp4 0) ///
  verbose timevar(temptime2) ///
>
>
   atvar(crprob1) crudeprob stub2(cancer other) ///
>
   expsurv(using("Z:\cansurv\data\popmort.dta") ///
                                          ///
>
           datediag(dated)
>
           agediag(aged)
                                        111
                                                111
>
           pmrate(rate)
>
                                                        111
           pmage(_age)
                                        111
>
           pmyear(_year)
>
           pmother(sex)
                                                111
>
           pmmaxyear(1985)
                                             111
                                                                 111
>
           at1(sex 1))
>
   userfunction(calc_allcause) ///
   userfunctionvar(allcause1) transform(none)
```

Plot the estimated crude probability of death due to cancer for each of the selected ages on the same graph. Contrast these with the estimated net probability of death from part (a).

- (c) Generate a similar plot but for the crude probability of death due to other causes.
- (d) A useful way of presenting crude probabilities is through stacked graphs.
 - i. Generate the stacked graphs for each of the selected ages. Use the solution Do file for help.
 - ii. Now overlay the net probability of death. Does it better illustrate the contrast described in (b)?
- (e) Advanced: Now fit a model using splines for the effect age with the spline terms allowed to be time-dependent.
 - i. Calculate the crude probabilities of death and compare these to the model where age is categorized.
 - ii. Now calculate crude probabilities of death at individual ages from 40 to 90 years old at 5 years since diagnosis plot these over age. See do file for help. Hint: you will need to do a loop over 50 standsurv predictions.

260. Fitting cure models

Stata addon required! This exercise requires the Stata user-written command strsmix. See Section 1.2 (page 2) for details and installation instructions.

We will now apply cure fraction models [9, 10] to the colon cancer data. In this exercise we fit mixture cure models and in exercise 261 we fit flexible parametric cure models. The cure fraction models treat time as continuous and thus there is no need to split the time scale. However, the expected hazard (mortality) rate at the time of death (or censoring) is required. Use the following commands to merge in the expected mortality rate.

```
. use colon
. stset surv_mm, failure(status=1 2) scale(12) exit(time 120)
. gen _age = min(int(age + _t),99)
. gen _year = int(yydx + _t)
. sort _year sex _age
. merge m:1 _year sex _age using popmort, keep(match master)
```

The scale(12) option converts survival time to years. The exit(time 120.5) option creates a maximum follow-up time of 10 years (120 months).

- (a) Explain the purpose of the two gen statements in the above stata code.
- (b) Fit a mixture cure fraction model to those diagnosed between 1975-1984 using the following command.

```
. strsmix if year8594==0, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate)
```

i. What is the estimate of the cure fraction?

Use the following commands to obtain prediction of the relative survival curve and the survival distribution of the 'uncured' and then plot these estimates against time $(_t)$

```
. predict rs7584, survival
```

- . predict rs7584u, survival uncured
- ii. Does the relative survival curve appear to reach a plateau at the cure fraction? Would you expect it to?
- iii. Approximately what proportion of the 'uncured' group have died after 2 years?
- iv. Approximately what is the median survival time of the 'uncured'?
- (c) Repeat the above for those diagnosed between 1985–1994. Contrast the estimates for the two time periods.
- (d) Now we will compare the two time periods more formally by including (year8594) as a covariate. First just allow the cure fraction to vary between time periods.
 - . strsmix year8594, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate)
 - i. What is the estimated difference in the cure fraction between the two time periods? Contrast this to the estimates obtained in b(i) and (c).

ii. This model is making a fairly strong assumption regarding the survival distribution of the 'uncured' for the two periods. What is this assumption?

Now allow the two Weibull parameters (λ and γ) to vary between the two time periods.

- iii. What is the estimated difference in the cure fraction between the two time periods? Contrast this with d(i).
- iv. Test the assumption that the survival distribution of the 'uncured' is the same for the two time periods.
- (e) Now fit a model including age group and time period of diagnosis using a logit link (use option link(logit)).
 - i. Interpret the parameter estimates (you may want to display the exponentiated coefficients bys using strsmix, eform).
 - ii. Obtain predictions of the median survival of the 'uncured'.Hint, use predict med, centile to obtain predicted values of the median.

261. Fitting cure models using flexible parametric survival models

Stata addon required! This exercise requires the Stata user-written command stpm2. See Section 1.2 (page 2) for details and installation instructions.

We will now apply flexible parametric cure models to the same data as in exercise 260, where we fitted mixture cure models. Read in the data, stset and merge on expected mortality rates in the same way as in exercise 260.

- (a) Compare the cure proportion in the two time periods by including the variable year8594 as a covariate in the stpm2 command. Assume proportional hazards.
 - . stpm2 year8594, df(6) bhazard(rate) scale(hazard) cure
 - i. How do you interpret the coefficient for the effect of the time period?
 - ii. Use the coefficients in the output to calculate the estimated cure proportions for the two time periods.
 - iii. Predict the cure proportions using the predict command to check your calculations.
 - . predict cure1, cure . list cure1 if year8594==0, constant . list cure1 if year8594==1, constant
 - iv. What is the estimated difference in the cure proportion between the two time periods? Compare this to the estimates obtained in exercise 260. Are the results similar? Would you expect them to be similar?
 - v. Predict the median survival time of uncured. Is the median survival time the same in the two groups? Should it be?
 - . predict med1, centile(50) uncured . list med1 if year8594==0, constant . list med1 if year8594==1, constant
- (b) Now allow time-dependent effect.

. stpm2 year8594, df(6) tvc(year8594) dftvc(4) bhazard(rate) scale(hazard) cure

- i. How do you interpret the coefficient for the effect of the time period?
- ii. Use the coefficients in the output to calculate the estimated cure proportions for the two time periods.
- iii. Predict the cure proportions using the predict command to check your calculations.

. predict cure2, cure . list cure2 if year8594==0, constant . list cure2 if year8594==1, constant

iv. Are the cure proportions similar to what was estimated in (a)?

- v. Predict the median survival time of uncured. Is the median survival time the same in the two groups? Should it be? Is the difference between the periods smaller or larger than in (a)? Why?
 - . predict med2, centile(50) uncured
 - . list med2 if year8594==0, constant
 - . list med2 if year8594==1, constant
- (c) Plot the estimated overall relative survival and the relative survival among uncured for the two periods. Do the survival curves reach a plateau? Should they?

270. Conditional survival

In this tutorial, we illustrate how to estimate net survival conditional on surviving some time since diagnosis. We estimate conditional net survival using both a non-parametric (life table) approach and based on a flexible parametric model.

The term 'conditional survival' is sometimes used to mean 'conditional on covariates' (to distinguish from marginal survival) and sometimes used to mean 'conditional on having survived up to some time s'. Here we estimate the latter.

The conditional survival function CS(t|s) is defined as the probability of surviving an additional t years given a patient has already survived s years.

$$CS(t|s) = P(T > t + s|T > s) = \frac{S(s+t)}{S(s)}$$

We will estimate conditional net survival (CNS),

$$CNS(t|s) = \frac{S_N(s+t)}{S_N(s)}$$

where $S_N(t)$ is net survival. We will estimate net survival 5 years post diagnosis, conditional on having survived 1 year, using 3 approaches:

- (a) Non-parametric (Pohar Perme estimator) by taking the ratio of S(5) to S(1) in a standard cohort life table.
- (b) Non-parametric (Pohar Perme estimator) by restricting the cohort to patients who survive 1 year (i.e., late entry)
- (c) By predicting the ratio of S(5) to S(1) based on a flexible parametric model

Care must be taken when reporting estimates of conditional survival. We will estimate 'net survival 5 years post diagnosis conditional on having survived 1 year', which is denoted by CNS(4|1) (the probability of surviving 4 additional years conditional on surviving 1 year). One can see reports presenting 'conditional 5-year survival' and it is not clear if this represents 5 years in addition to s or survival up to 5 years post diagnosis conditional on survival to s.

We have chosen to use the same notation as Belot *et al.* (2019) [11]. References to the use of conditional survival can be found in their tutorial paper.

We will use the colon cancer data for this example, as the principles are best demonstrated using an example with relatively high early mortality.

- (a) Tabulate a standard cohort life table with 1-year intervals (using strs with the pohar and ht options option) and divide the 5-year net survival by the 1-year net survival.
 - . use colon.dta, clear
 - . stset exit, origin(dx) fail(status==1 2) id(id) scale(365.24)

What is the estimated net survival 5 years post diagnosis conditional on having survived 1 year?

We used the Pohar Perme estimates, but any estimates can be used. The disadvantage of this approach is that we don't get the standard error.

By default, strs uses the actuarial approach for estimation (i.e., estimation is performed on the survival scale) but if late entry is detected it estimates the cumulative hazard and then transforms to the survival scale. We will use late entry in the next step, so specify the ht option (hazard transformation) to force the same approach to estimation here.

(b) We now restrict the cohort to individuals who survived at least 1 year, by specifying the enter option to **stset**, and tabulate the life table (with the exact same call to **strs**).

```
. stset exit, origin(dx) enter(time dx+365.24) fail(status==1 2) id(id) scale(365.24)
```

What is the estimated net survival 5 years post diagnosis conditional on having survived 1 year? What is the 95% confidence interval?

(c) We now use a model-based approach. As with the first life table approach, we estimate survival from diagnosis and divide the estimated 5-year survival by the estimated 1year survival. As we are modelling net survival, we need to merge in the external rates.

```
. // Return to original stset (everyone at risk from diagnosis)
. stset exit, origin(dx) fail(status==1 2) id(id) scale(365.24)
. gen _age = min(int(age + _t),99)
. gen _year = int(yydx + _t)
. sort _year sex _age
. merge m:1 _year sex _age using popmort, keep(match master)
.
.
. // Fit the model without covariates
. stpm2, scale(hazard) df(5) bhazard(rate)
```

Rather than just estimate CNS(4|1) we'll estimate CNS(t|1) for a range of values of t. We'll first predict the unconditional relative survival at each value of $_{-t}$ and store the estimates in a new variable s.

Next we want to predict S(t)/S(1) for a range of values of t (where t is now time since diagnosis).

We will create two temporary time variables; timevar will take 100 values between 1 and 5 while t1 will be set to 1 for each of the observations. We then predict the ratio of S(timevar) / S(t1).

```
. predict s, survival ci
```

```
. range timevar 1 5 100
```

```
. gen t1 = 1 in 1/100
```

```
. predictnl condsurv = ///
predict(survival timevar(timevar)) / predict(survival timevar(t1))
```

What is the estimated net survival 5 years post diagnosis conditional on having survived 1 year?

Note that we didn't request confidence intervals, which we will do now. We will predict on the log scale in order to get more appropriate confidence intervals.

```
. predictnl condsurv1 = ln(predict(survival timevar(timevar)) / ///
> predict(survival timevar(t1))) , ///
> ci(condsurv1_lci condsurv1_uci)
```

How does the estimated conditional survival (and confidence intervals) compared to those you obtained in the previous part from life tables?

(d) Now plot the conditional survival as a function of time (see the code in q270.do). The do file also contains code for estimating and plotting unconditional and conditional survival on the same graph.

282. Calculating excess and 'avoidable' deaths from life tables

(a) Load the Melanoma data, drop subjects diagnosed 1975-1984 and then and use strs to obtain life-tables stratified by age group and sex. Load the grouped data and keep the following variables.

```
. keep start end n cp cp_e2 cr_e2 sex agegrp
```

- (b) What is the difference in five-year relative survival between males and females in each age group?
- (c) We will now investigate excess deaths and 'avoidable' deaths. The question of interest is how many fewer deaths we would expect to see if males could achieve the same relative survival as females. To do this we will reshape the data from long form to wide form to make calculations easier.

```
. bysort sex (agegrp start): gen j = _n
. gen sexlab =cond(sex==1,"_m","_f")
. drop sex
. reshape wide start end n cp cp_e2 cr_e2 agegrp, i(j) j(sexlab) string
. rename agegrp_m agegrp
. rename start_m start
. rename end_m end
. drop agegrp_f start_f end_f
```

Look at the data in the data browser to make sure you understand what the **reshape** command has done.

(d) In order to calculate the predicted number of deaths we need to define how many subjects were at risk at the the start of follow-up. For simplicity, we will use the average number of cases per year over the 10 year diagnosis period. This can be calculated as follows.

```
. bys agegrp: gen Nrisk_m = n_m[1]/10
```

Calculate the overall (all-cause) probability of death, $1 - S^*(t)R(t)$, for males.

. gen p_dead_m = 1 - cp_e2_m * cr_e2_m

For males, calculate the expected number of all-cause deaths, Nd_m, the expected number of deaths if the study population were free of cancer, NExp_d_m and the excess deaths associated with a diagnosis of cancer, ED_m.

```
. gen Nd_m = Nrisk_m*p_dead_m
```

- . gen NExp_d_m = Nrisk_m*(1-cp_e2_m)
- . gen ED_m = Nd_m NExp_d_m
 - i. How many all cause deaths would we expect to see in each age group at 5 years post diagnosis?
- ii. How many more deaths are there than would be expected in a similar cancer free group in the population?
- iii. How many excess deaths by 5 years are associated with a diagnosis of melanoma over all age groups?
- (e) Repeat the above calculations for females. How do the excess deaths for females compare to the males?

(f) We will now apply the relative survival estimates for females to the males' expected survival in order to calculate the 'avoidable' deaths.

. gen Nd_m_f = Nrisk_m*(1 - cp_e2_m * cr_e2_f)
. gen AD_m = Nd_m - Nd_m_f

How many deaths would be avoided if males could achieve the same relative survival as females for Melanoma?.

(g) List the avoidable deaths for the oldest age group over all follow-up times. Why are the number of avoidable deaths decreasing as follow-up time increases?

287. Using standsurv for all cause survival and avoidable deaths

Stata addon required! This exercise requires the Stata user-written command stpm2 and standsurv. See Section 1.2 (page 2) for details and installation instructions.

This question demonstrates the use of **standsurv** to estimate standardized relative and all-cause survival and shows how this can be linked to avoidable deaths.

(a) Load melanoma data, restrict to the 1985-1994 calendar period and merge in the expected mortality rates.

```
. use melanoma, clear
. keep if year8594 == 1
. stset surv_mm, fail(status==1 2) id(id) scale(12) exit(time 60.5)
. gen _age = min(int(age + _t),99)
. gen _year = int(yydx + _t)
. sort _year sex _age
. merge m:1 _year sex _age using popmort, keep(match master)
```

(b) Generate a dummy for female, generate age splines with 3 df and form interactions between female and the age spline variables

```
. gen female = sex==2
// generate splines
. rcsgen age, gen(agercs) df(3)
// interactions
. forvalues i = 1/3 {
    gen f_agercs'i' = female*agercs'i'
}
```

Fit a model including the effects of sex, age, and their interaction. Allow the effects of age and sex to be time-dependent.

```
. stpm2 female agercs* f_agercs*, scale(h) df(4) ///
        tvc(agercs* female) dftvc(2) bhazard(rate)
```

The coefficients from this model are almost impossible to interpret (in a useful way) individually, but we can use them to make many predictions.

(c) Predict the age standardised relative survival for males and females, using the combined age distribution of males as females as the age standard.

As we have interactions we have to put extra work when we manipulate exposures in our predictions. When we predict for males, we need to make sure the interaction terms are set to zero. When we predict for females we need to make sure the interaction terms are used for all predictions.

Plot the resulting functions and their 95% confidence intervals.

```
. twoway (line rs_m* tt, lcolor(blue..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
    (line rs_f* tt, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
    , xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
    ytitle("Relative Survival") ///
    ylabel(0.5(0.1)1,angle(h) format(%3.1f)) ///
    legend(order(1 "Males" 4 "Females") ring(0) pos(1) cols(1)) ///
    name(rs, replace)
```

(d) Calculate the survival at 5 years for all subjects where everyone is forced to be male and then female. Take the mean of these estimates. Show these are the same as the estimates at 5 years when using standsurv.

```
. gen t5 = 5
. predict rs_ms_m, surv at(female 0 f_agercs1 0 f_agercs2 0 f_agercs3 0) timevar(t5)
. predict rs_ms_f, surv ///
    at(female 1 f_agercs1 = agercs1 f_agercs2 = agercs2 f_agercs3 = . agercs3) timevar(t5)
. tabstat rs_ms_m rs_ms_f
. list rs_m rs_f if tt==5, noobs
```

(e) We will now predict all cause survival by combining relative survival with expected survival, $S_i(t) = S_i^*(t)R_i(t)$. We need to incorporate the population mortality file and various options to estimate the expected survival.

```
standsurv, at1(female 0 f_agercs1 0 f_agercs2 0 f_agercs3 0, atif(female==0)) ///
          at2(female 1 f_agercs1=agercs1 f_agercs2=agercs2 f_agercs3=agercs3, atif(female==1)) ///
          timevar(tt) ci ///
          atvar(acs_m acs_f) ///
          expsurv(using(popmort.dta) /// popmort file.
                                   /// age at diagnosis
            agediag(age)
                                   /// date at diagnosis
            datediag(dx)
                                  /// age variable in popmort file
            pmage(_age)
                                 /// year variable in popmort file
            pmyear(_year)
                                 /// other variables in popmort file
            pmother(sex)
                                 /// rate varible in popmort file
            pmrate(rate)
            pmmaxyear(2000)
                                /// maximum year in popmort file
            at1(sex 1)
                                   /// variables to match with main at options
            at2(sex 2)
                                   /// variables to match with main at options
```

As we standardized to the age distribution within each sex, we can compare our modelled based estimate with the Kaplan-Meier estimate. If we see a disagreement then this would indicate that our model was mis-specified.

```
sts gen s_km = s, by(female)
twoway (line acs_m* tt, lcolor(blue..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
    (line acs_f* tt, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
    (line s_km _t if female == 0, sort lcolor(black) lpattern(shortdash) connect(stairstep)) ///
    (line s_km _t if female == 1, sort lcolor(black) lpattern(shortdash) connect(stairstep)) ///
    , xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
    ytitle("All cause Survival") ///
    ylabel(0.5(0.1)1,angle(h) format(%3.1f)) ///
    legend(order(1 "Males" 4 "Females") ring(0) pos(1) cols(1)) ///
    name(acs, replace)
```

Does our model capture the observed marginal all-cause survival?

)

Give two reasons why comparison of the curves for males and females does not provide a fair comparison in terms of potential differential cancer mortality. (f) We will now try to quantify the differences between males and females. We first ask the question, "'how would the relative survival change if males had the same age-specific excess mortality predictions as females?"'

We can restrict the standardisation to males and estimate with and without forcing the excess mortality rates to be those of females. We will also predict for females.

```
(line rs2_m_withf* tt, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
(line rs2_f tt, lcolor(black..) lpattern(dash)) ///
, xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
ytitle("Relative Survival") ///
ylabel(0.5(0.1)1,angle(h) format(%3.1f)) ///
legend(order(1 "Males" 4 "Males (with female RS)" 7 "Females") ring(0) pos(1) cols(1)) ///
name(rs2, replace)
```

list rs2_m_pred rs2_m_withf rs2_f if tt==5, noobs

Explain why the relative survival of males with females relative survival is not the same as the relative survival of females.

(g) Now we can see what do these difference mean in terms of all-cause survival, i.e. in the real world. We are essentially asking how would the all cause survival change for males, if they had the excess mortality rates of females.

```
standsurv, at1(female 0 f_agercs1 0 f_agercs2 0 f_agercs3 0, atif(female==0)) ///
           at2(female 1 f_agercs1=agercs1 f_agercs2=agercs2 f_agercs3=agercs3, atif(female==0)) ///
           timevar(tt) ci ///
           atvar(acs2_m_pred acs2_m_withf) ///
           expsurv(using(popmort.dta) ///
                                             popmort file.
             agediag(age)
                                      111
                                             age at diagnosis
                                      111
             datediag(dx)
                                             date at diagnosis
             pmage(_age)
                                      111
                                             age variable in popmort file
                                      ///
            pmyear(_year)
                                             year variable in popmort file
                                             other variables in popmort file
            pmother(sex)
                                      111
            pmrate(rate)
                                      111
                                             rate varible in popmort file
            pmmaxyear(2000)
                                      111
                                             maximum year in popmort file
             at1(sex 1)
                                      111
                                             variables to match with main at options
                                      111
             at2(sex 1)
                                             variables to match with main at options
             )
                                      111
           contrast(difference)
                                      111
           contrastvar(acs_diff2)
twoway (line acs2_m_pred* tt, lcolor(blue..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
       (line acs2_m_withf* tt, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
       , xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
      ytitle("All cause Survival") ///
      ylabel(0.5(0.1)1,angle(h) format(%3.1f)) ///
      legend(order(1 "Males" 4 "Males (with female RS)") ring(0) pos(1) cols(1)) ///
      name(acs2, replace)
```

list acs2_m_pred acs2_m_withf if tt==5, noobs

(h) Plot the absolute difference in the survival predicted for males with their own excess mortality rates compared to if the males had the excess mortality rates of the females.

```
twoway (rarea acs_diff2_lci acs_diff2_uci tt, color(red%30)) ///
    (line acs_diff2 tt, lcolor(red..)) ///
    , xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
    ytitle("All cause Survival") ///
    ylabel(0.0(0.01)0.1,angle(h) format(%3.2f)) ///
    legend(off) ///
    name(acs2_diff, replace)
```

(i) Rather than just look at the difference we can ask how many fewer deaths would there be if the males had the excess mortality rates of the females. To do this we need to define a population size. One sensible option is to use average number of males diagnosed each year.

Calculate the average number of males diagnosed per year between 1990 and 1994.

count if yydx>=1990 & female==0
di 'r(N)'/5

We will round up to 245 males diagnosed per year We can repeat the previous standsurv command and add the per(245) option. This will just multiply our predictions by 245 and give us the avoidable deaths in a cohort of men diagnosed in a typical calendar year.

```
standsurv, at1(female 0 f_agercs1 0 f_agercs2 0 f_agercs3 0, atif(female==0)) ///
          at2(female 1 f_agercs1=agercs1 f_agercs2=agercs2 f_agercs3=agercs3, atif(female==0)) ///
          timevar(tt) ci ///
          atvar(acs3_m_pred acs3_m_withf) ///
                                           popmort file.
          expsurv(using(popmort.dta) ///
            agediag(age)
                                     111
                                           age at diagnosis
            datediag(dx)
                                     111
                                           date at diagnosis
            pmage(_age)
                                     111
                                           age variable in popmort file
            pmyear(_year)
                                     111
                                           year variable in popmort file
            pmother(sex)
                                     111
                                           other variables in popmort file
            pmrate(rate)
                                     111
                                           rate varible in popmort file
            pmmaxyear(2000)
                                     111
                                           maximum year in popmort file
            at1(sex 1)
                                     ///
                                           variables to match with main at options
            at2(sex 1)
                                     111
                                           variables to match with main at options
            )
                                     111
          contrast(difference)
                                     111
          contrastvar(acs_diff3)
                                     111
          per(245)
twoway (rarea acs_diff3_lci acs_diff3_uci tt, color(red%30)) ///
       (line acs_diff3 tt, lcolor(red..)) ///
       , xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
      ytitle("Avoidable Deaths") ///
      ylabel(,angle(h) format(%3.0f)) ///
      legend(off) ///
      name(acs3_diff, replace)
```

Compare this to the estimate in question 282.

284. Estimating loss in expectation of life

In this exercise the aim is to estimate the loss in expectation of life for the melanoma cohort as a function of age, year and sex. This can be used to estimate the total number of life years lost for a given cohort of cancer patients. We will also use loss in expectation of life as a way of quantifying the sex difference in melanoma survival, as an alternative to using avoidable deaths (exercise 282).

Loss in expectation of life, together with life expectancy in absence of cancer and life expectancy in presence of cancer can be estimated after fitting a flexible parametric model by using the lifelost option of the predict postestimation command after using stpm2 to fit a model. All options used together with lifelost are described below:

mergeby(<i>string</i>)	specifies the variables by which the file of general population
	survival probabilities is sorted.
diagage(name)	specifies the variable containing age at diagnosis.
	Default is diagage.
diagyear(<i>name</i>)	specifies the variable containing calendar year of
	diagnosis. Default is diagyear.
maxage(<i>int 99</i>)	specifies the maximum age for which general population survival
-	probabilities are provided in the using file. Probabilities
	for individuals older than this value are assumed to be the same as
	for the maximum age. Default is 99.
attage(name)	specifies the variable containing attained age in the popmort file.
	This variable cannot exist in the patient data file. Default is $_$ age.
attyear(name)	specifies the variable containing attained calendar year in the popmort file.
-	This variable cannot exist in the patient data file.
	Default is _year.
<pre>survprob(name)</pre>	specifies the variable containing survival probabilities in the popmort file.
	This variable cannot exist in the patient data file. Default is prob.
using(<i>string</i>)	specifies the popmort file to be used for expected survival probabilities.
by(<i>string</i>)	specifies stratification variables. Survival probabilities are averaged for each
	combination of these variables and assumed the same within each
	combination. Can only be used together with the grpd option.
maxyear(<i>int 2050</i>)	specifies the maximum age for which general population survival
	probabilities are provided in the using file. Probabilities for years beyond
	this value are assumed to be the same as for the maximum year.
	Default is 2050.
nodes(int 50)	specifies the number of nodes to be used for the numerical integration.
	Default is 50.
tinf(<i>int 50</i>)	specifies the end year used for the numerical integration. Both observed
	and expected survival is assumed to be 0 after this point.
	Default is 50.
tcond(<i>real 0</i>)	specifies the starting year used for the numerical integration.
	This is used to retrieve conditional estimates. Default is 0.
grpd	specifies that average survival probabilities should be used, as opposed to
	individual probabilities. If this is used together with the by option, the
	average is calculated within each combination of the specified by variables.
<pre>stub(string)</pre>	stubname for estimated life expectency in absence and presence of cancer.

(a) Load the melanoma data and **stset** the data for relative survival.

```
. use melanoma, clear
. gen patid = _n
. stset surv_mm, failure(status=1 2) scale(12) exit(time 120.5) id(patid)
```

(b) Fit a flexible parametric model including year, age and sex. Include age and year as continuous variables using splines. Allow all covariates to have a time-dependent effect. Remember to merge on the expected mortality at the exit times.

- (c) We will now estimate the loss in expectation of life. To save time we don't estimate confidence intervals, although they can be obtained by removing the comments around the ci option. (NOTE! Don't attempt to run this with the ci option during the lab session. This would take more than an hour, and the only way to stop Stata is to force the program to shut down completely.)
- (d) Create a graph that shows how the loss in expectation of life varies over age, for males diagnosed in 1994.
 - . twoway (line ll age if sex==1 & yydx==1994, sort) , legend(off) ///
 scheme(sj) name(q41_d, replace) ytitle("Years", size(*0.8)) ///
 xtitle("Age at diagnosis", size(*0.8)) xlabel(, labsize(*0.7)) ///
 ylabel(0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45, labsize(*0.7) angle(0)) ///
 yscale(range(0 45))
- (e) List the life expectancy and the loss in expectaion of life for someone aged 50, 60, 70 and 80 at diagnosis, both males and females. Also calculate the total number of life years lost among patients diagnosed in 1994.

```
. foreach age in 50 60 70 80 {
   foreach sex in 1 2 {
      list age sex yydx survexp survobs ll if age=='age' & ///
      sex=='sex' & yydx==1994, constant
   }
   }
   . qui summ ll if yydx==1994
. display r(sum)
```

- (f) Now estimate the loss in expectation of life if male patients had the same mortality due to melanoma as female patients, but the expected survival of males.
 - . replace fem=1
 - . predict ll_alt, lifelost mergeby(_year sex _age) diagage(age) ///
 diagyear(yydx) nodes(40) tinf(80) using(popmort) ///
 stub(surv_alt) maxyear(2000) /*ci*/
- (g) How many life years could potentially be saved if males diagnosed in 1994 had the same survival from melanoma as female patients diagnosed in 1994?

```
. gen lldiff= ll-ll_alt
. summ lldiff if yydx==1994
. display r(sum)
. foreach age in 50 60 70 80 {
    list ll ll_alt lldiff age if sex==1 & age=='age' & yydx==1994, constant
  }
```

288. Using standsurv for life expectancy

Stata addon required! This exercise requires the Stata user-written command stpm2 and standsurv. See Section 1.2 (page 2) for details and installation instructions.

This question demonstrates the use of **standsurv** to estimate life expectancy using the **rmst** option.

(a) Load melanoma data, restrict to the 1985-1994 calendar period, those aged over 18 and merge in the expected mortality rates.

```
use melanoma, clear
keep if year8594 == 1
stset surv_mm, failure(status=1 2) scale(12) exit(time 120.5) id(id)
gen _age = min(int(age + _t),99) /*merge on expected rates at exittime*/
gen _year = int(yydx + _t)
sort _year sex _age
merge m:1 _year sex _age using popmort, keep(match master) keepusing(rate)
drop _age _year _merge
```

(b) Fit a flexible parametric model including continuous age using restricted cubic splines with 3 knots and and sex. Allow the effect of age and sex to have time-dependent effects.

```
rcsgen age, df(3) gen(agercs)
global ageknots 'r(knots)'
gen female = sex==2 // create dummy variable for females
stpm2 agercs* female, scale(hazard) df(5) bhazard(rate) ///
tvc(agercs* female) dftvc(3)
```

(c) We will do some predictions for a 70 year old male to show different types of predictions and how to incorporate expected mortality rates using standsurv.

Store the values of the age spline variables for a 70 year old

```
. rcsgen, scalar(70) gen(a) knots(${ageknots})
i. Predict relative survival for a 70 year old male up to 10 years and plot.
range tt 0 10 101
predict rs70, at(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) surv timevar(tt) ci
twoway (line rs70* tt, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)), ///
ylabel(0(0.1)1, format(%3.1f) angle(h)) ///
legend(off) ///
ytitle("Survival") ///
xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
ylabel(0(0.1)1)
```

ii. The area under the survival curve gives the restricted mean relative survival time. We can approximate this using the **integ** command.

. integ rs70 tt

iii. Alternatively we can use the rmst option of stpm2's predict command.

```
. gen t10 = 10 in 1
. predict rs70b in 1, at(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) zeros rmst tmax(10)
. list rs70b in 1, noobs
```

Interpret the restricted mean relative survival

(d) Note that we have not extrapolated beyond the range of follow-up, but as this is a parametric model we can extrapolate if we want to. We will extrapolate to 40 years. This is when someone 70 at diagnosis would be 110, so a close to zero probability of being alive.

```
range tt_long 0 40 101
predict rs70_long, at(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) surv ///
timevar(tt_long) ci
twoway (line rs70_long* tt_long, lcolor(red..) lpattern(solid dash dash)), ///
ylabel(0(0.1)1, format(%3.1f) angle(h)) ///
legend(off) ///
ytitle("Relative Survival") ///
xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
ylabel(0(0.1)1)
```

Explain why this is really not a very interesting extrapolation.

(e) We will now combine relative survival with expected survival so we can estimate the all cause probability of death for a 70 year old man. We will switch to standsurv for these predictions. We are interested in the prediction of a single individual age 70, so we restict the prediction to the first row and feed in the relevant covariate values using the at1() option.

```
gen age70 = 70 in 1
gen dx70 = mdy(1, 1, 1990)
standsurv if _n==1,
                                                                    111
           at1(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) ///
           timevar(tt) ci
                                      111
  atvar(s70_all)
                              111
           expsurv(using(popmort.dta) /// popmort file.
                                      /// age at diagnosis
/// date at diagnosis
             agediag(age70)
             datediag(dx70)
                                      /// age variable in popmort file
             pmage(_age)
                                      /// year variable in popmort file
             pmyear(_year)
                                      /// other variables in popmort file
             pmother(sex)
                                      /// rate varible in popmort file
             pmrate(rate)
                                      /// maximum year in popmort file
             pmmaxyear(2000)
                                      /// variables to match with main at options
             at1(sex 1)
             expsurvvar(expsurv70)) // output expected survival
twoway (line s70_all tt) ///
   (line rs70 tt) ///
   (line expsurv70 tt) ///
, ylabel(0(0.1)1, format(%3.1f) angle(h)) ///
legend(order(1 "All cause" 2 "Relative" 3 "Expected") cols(1) ring(0) pos(7)) ///
ytitle("Survival") ///
xtitle("Years from diagnosis")
```

- i. Why is the all-cause survival decreasing when the relative survival is flat?
- ii. Generate the restricted mean all-cause and expected survival time using integ and interpret. integ s70_all tt integ expsurv70 tt
- (f) Now repeat, but use **standsurv**. This will perform a more accuarte numerical integration and also give 95% confidence intervals.

```
standsurv if _n==1, ///
    atl(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) ///
    timevar(t10) rmst ci ///
    atvar(rmst10) ///
    expsurv(using(popmort.dta) /// popmort file.
        agediag(age70) /// age at diagnosis
        datediag(dx70) /// date at diagnosis
        pmage(_age) /// age variable in popmort file
        pmyear(_year) /// year variable in popmort file
        pmother(sex) /// other variables in popmort file
        pmrate(rate) /// rate varible in popmort file
        pmmaxyear(2000) /// maximum year in popmort file
        atl(sex 1) ///
        expsurvvar(exp_rmst))
list rmst10* in 1, noobs
list exp_rmst* in 1, noobs
```

(g) If we use tt_long for our time variable then we will extrapolate both relative and expected survival as a way to extrapolate all-cause survival. In most situations this will work better than direct extrapolation of all cause survival.

```
standsurv if _n==1, ///
          at1(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0) ///
          timevar(tt_long) ci ///
          atvar(s70_long)
                                        111
          expsurv(using(popmort.dta) /// popmort file.
            agediag(age70) /// age at diagnosis
                                   /// date at diagnosis
            datediag(dx70)
            pmage(_age)
                                   /// age variable in popmort file
                                   /// year variable in popmort file
            pmyear(_year)
            pmother(sex)
                                   /// other variables in popmort file
                                   /// rate varible in popmort file
            pmrate(rate)
            pmmaxyear(2000)
                                   /// maximum year in popmort file
            at1(sex 1)
                                    111
            expsurvvar(expsurv70_long))
twoway (line s70_long* tt_long, lcolor(blue..) lpattern(solid dash dash)) ///
      (line rs70_long tt_long) ///
      (line expsurv70_long tt_long) ///
      ,ylabel(0(0.1)1, format(%3.1f) angle(h)) ///
      legend(order(1 "All cause" 4 "Relative" 5 "Expected") cols(1) ring(0) pos(1)) ///
      ytitle("Survival") ///
      xtitle("Years from diagnosis") ///
      xline(10, lpatter(dash))
```

Why can we now integrate the all cause survival curve to obtain an estimate of life expectancy? Perform the integration using **integ** and interpret.

```
integ s70_long tt_long
integ expsurv70_long tt_long
```

(h) Rather than use integ we can do the estimation using standsurv with the rmst option. Note this is strictly a restricted mean, but we are estimating up to a time point when we know the all-cause survival will be effectively zero.

```
atvar(lifeexp70)
                         ///
expsurv(using(popmort.dta) /// popmort file.
 agediag(age70) /// age at diagnosis
 datediag(dx70)
                        /// date at diagnosis
 pmage(_age)
                        /// age variable in popmort file
 pmyear(_year)
                        /// year variable in popmort file
 pmother(sex)
                        /// other variables in popmort file
 pmrate(rate)
                        /// rate varible in popmort file
                         /// maximum year in popmort file
 pmmaxyear(2000)
 at1(sex 1)
                         111
 expsurvvar(exp_lifeexp70))
```

list lifeexp70* exp_lifeexp70 in 1, noobs

(i) So far we have just estimated for a 70 year old. We can use **standsurv** to obtain standardized survival curves over all (or a subset) of individuals. We need to use the age at diagnosis and date of diagnosis of each individual in the data set.

The youngest person in our analysis is 18 and so extrapolation for just 40 years would only make them 58, so we create a new variable t100 with 100 years of follow-up. This is longer than necessary for a 80 year old, but is needed as we are now including much younger individuals.

```
gen t100 = 100 in 1
standsurv, ///
      at1(agercs1 '=a1' agercs2 '=a2' agercs3 '=a3' female 0, atif(female==0)) ///
      timevar(t100) rmst ci
                             |||
      atvar(lifeexp_stand)
                                     111
      expsurv(using(popmort.dta)
                                     /// popmort file.
                                     /// age at diagnosis
        agediag(age)
                                     /// date at diagnosis
        datediag(dx)
                                     /// age variable in popmort file
        pmage(_age)
                                     /// year variable in popmort file
        pmyear(_year)
                                    /// other variables in popmort file
        pmother(sex)
                                    /// rate varible in popmort file
        pmrate(rate)
                                    /// maximum year in popmort file
        pmmaxyear(2000)
        at1(sex 1)
                                     111
        expsurvvar(exp_lifeexp_stand))
```

```
list lifeexp_stand* exp_lifeexp_stand in 1, noobs
```

Interpret these results.

(j) We need to remember the above is an average over all individuals. This is fine as a summary measure, but hides the fact that life expectency is highly dependent on age. Thus it can be useful to look at life expetency over a range of ages.

We will predict life expectency and the loss in expectation of life for men aged 40, 50, 60, 70 and 80. We just need to extract the values of the age spline variables at these ages.

```
timevar(t100) rmst ci
                                              111
                                             /// new variable names
          atvar(le'age')
           expsurv(using(popmort.dta)
                                             /// popmort file.
             agediag(tmpage'age')
                                            /// age at diagnosis
             datediag(tmpdx'age')
                                            /// date at diagnosis
             pmage(_age)
                                             /// age variable in popmort file
             pmyear(_year)
                                             /// year variable in popmort file
                                            /// other variables in popmort file
/// rate varible in popmort file
/// maximum year in popmort file
             pmother(sex)
             pmrate(rate)
             pmmaxyear(2000)
                                             111
             at1(sex 1)
             expsurvvar(exp'age'))
    drop tmpage'age' tmpdx'age'
}
// Display estimates for selected ages
foreach age in 40 50 60 70 80 {
    di "Age: 'age', Cancer = " %5.3f le'age'[1] ", Expected = " %5.2f exp'age'
r
```

(k) In question 284 we calculated the average loss in expectation of life if males had the relative survival of females. This can also been done using standsurv. We need two at options, one where we predict life expectancy for males and one where we predict life expectancy for males, if they had the excess mortality rates of females.

Note we restrict the standardisation to males. As we have no interaction between age and sex, the **at** option is simple. See question 287 on how to code when there are interactions between covariates.

// Also note how we need to specify the at suboptions within the expsurv option.

```
standsurv, ///
      at1(female 0, atif(female==0)) /// prediction for males
      at2(female 1, atif(female==0)) /// prediction for males (female rs)
      timevar(t40) rmst ci
                                    |||
      atvar(lifeexp_m lifeexp_m_withf) ///
      expsurv(using(popmort.dta)
                                    /// popmort file.
                                    /// age at diagnosis
        agediag(age)
        datediag(dx)
                                    /// date at diagnosis
        pmage(_age)
                                    /// age variable in popmort file
        pmyear(_year)
                                    /// year variable in popmort file
        pmother(sex)
                                    /// other variables in popmort file
        pmrate(rate)
                                    /// rate varible in popmort file
        pmmaxyear(2000)
                                     /// maximum year in popmort file
        at1(sex 1)
                                     /// expected rates for males
        at2(sex 1)
                                     /// expected rates for males
        expsurvvar(exp_m1 exp_m2))
                                     111
       contrast(difference) ///
contrastvar(lel_stand)
list lifeexp_m lifeexp_m_lci lifeexp_m_uci in 1
list lifeexp_m_withf* in 1
list lel_stand* in 1
```

3 Solutions

250. Calculating the crude probability of death from life tables.

(a) Load the Melanoma data, drop subjects diagnosed 1975-1984 and then and use strs to obtain life-tables stratified by age group and sex. Use the cuminc option to obtain the crude probabilities of death due to cancer and due to other causes.

```
. stset surv_mm, fail(status==1 2) id(id) scale(12)
           id: id
   failure event: status == 1 2
obs. time interval: (surv_mm[_n-1], surv_mm]
exit on or before: failure
  t for analysis: time/12
_____
   4744 total observations
    0 exclusions
_____
   4744 observations remaining, representing
   4744 subjects
   1404 failures in single-failure-per-subject data
 22108.5 total analysis time at risk and under observation
                                  at risk from t =
                                                    0
                          earliest observed entry t = 0
                            last observed exit t = 10.95833
. strs using popmort, br(0(1)5) mergeby(_year sex _age) by(agegrp sex) ///
       save(replace) cuminc list(n d w cp F cp_e2 cr_e2 ci_dc ci_do) f(%7.5f)
>
      failure _d: status == 1 2
  analysis time _t: surv_mm/12
            id: id
No late entry detected - p is estimated using the actuarial method
_____
\rightarrow agegrp = 0-44, sex = Male
 | start end n d w cp F cp_e2 cr_e2 ci_dc ci_d
 |------
     0 1 537 25 0 0.95345 0.04655 0.99727 0.95605 0.04389 0.0026
 1 2 512 33 43 0.88930 0.11070 0.99437 0.89433 0.10535 0.0053

      2
      3
      436
      9
      43
      0.86999
      0.13001
      0.99130
      0.87762
      0.12194
      0.0080

      3
      4
      384
      18
      39
      0.82703
      0.17297
      0.98810
      0.83698
      0.16216
      0.0108

      4
      5
      327
      6
      34
      0.81102
      0.18898
      0.98473
      0.82360
      0.17537
      0.0136

 L
 L
 _____
\rightarrow agegrp = 0-44, sex = Female
 | start end n d w cp F cp_e2 cr_e2 ci_dc ci_do
```

0	1	624	9	0	0.98558	0.01442	0.99911	0.98645	0.01354	0.00088
1	2	615	9	52	0.97052	0.02948	0.99816	0.97231	0.02766	0.00182
2	3	554	9	56	0.95391	0.04609	0.99712	0.95667	0.04327	0.00282
3	4	489	8	51	0.93745	0.06255	0.99599	0.94122	0.05867	0.00389
4	5	430	8	68 	0.91851	0.08149	0.99477	0.92334	0.07647	0.00503
agegrp =	= 45-59), sex	 = Ma	 le						
start	end	n	 d	 W	cp	F	cp_e2	cr_e2	ci_dc	ci_do
0	1	752	51	0	0.93218	0.06782	0.99094	0.94070	0.05903	0.00879
1	2	701	38	72	0.87891	0.12109	0.98140	0.89557	0.10353	0.0175
2	3	591	38	64	0.81917	0.18083	0.97111	0.84354	0.15433	0.02650
3	4	489	17	61	0.78879	0.21121	0.96025	0.82145	0.17566	0.0355
4	5 	411	16 	53 	0.75597	0.24403	0.94866	0.79688	0.19912	0.0449
agegrp =	= 45-59	9, sex	= Fe	male 						
start 	end 	n 	d 	W 	ср	F	cp_e2	cr_e2	ci_dc	ci_d
0	1	612	21	0	0.96569	0.03431	0.99661	0.96897	0.03098	0.0033
1	2	591	23	61	0.92606	0.07394	0.99298	0.93261	0.06715	0.0067
2	3	507	16	64	0.89487	0.10513	0.98906	0.90477	0.09474	0.0103
3	4	427	11	62	0.87001	0.12999	0.98482	0.88341	0.11581	0.0141
4	5	354	5 	49 	0.85681	0.14319	0.98034	0.87399	0.12508	0.0181
agegrp =	= 60-74	1, sex	 = Ma	 le						
start	end	n	d	 W	ср	F	cp_e2	cr_e2	ci_dc	ci_d
0	1	709	61	0	0.91396	0.08604	0.96735	0.94481	0.05429	0.0317
1	2	648	67	75	0.81366	0.18634	0.93361	0.87152	0.12395	0.0623
2	3	506	37	63	0.75021	0.24979	0.89794	0.83548	0.15695	0.0928
3	4	406	39	55	0.67291	0.32709	0.86090	0.78164	0.20430	0.1227
4	5	312	27	51	0.60950	0.39050	0.82214	0.74135	0.23821	0.1523
agegrn =	= 60-74	1. sex	= Fe	malo						

ļ	start	end	n	d	W	ср	F	cp_e2	cr_e2	ci_dc	ci_do
	0	1	661	41	0	0.93797	0.06203	0.98381	0.95340	0.04622	0.01581
I	1	2	620	47	60	0.86325	0.13675	0.96623	0.89343	0.10470	0.03205
I	2	3	513	31	62	0.80773	0.19227	0.94730	0.85267	0.14369	0.04857

SOLUTIONS

T											
	т	0	040	10	40	0.12000	0.20000	0.30413	0.13002	0.13030	0.0000
1	Δ	5	346	18	48	0 72000	0 28000	0 90473	0 79582	0 19638	0 0836
	3	4	420	22	52	0.76263	0.23737	0.92670	0.82295	0.17154	0.0658

		 ~								
start	ena	n	a 		ср	г 	cp_ez	cr_ez	c1_ac	c1_dd
0	1	337	67	0	0.80119	0.19881	0.88853	0.90170	0.09282	0.10599
1	2	270	61	37	0.60686	0.39314	0.78562	0.77247	0.20100	0.19214
2	3	172	33	17	0.48438	0.51562	0.68883	0.70319	0.25207	0.26355
3	4	122	19	19	0.40257	0.59743	0.59992	0.67104	0.27279	0.32464
4	5	84	11	12	0.34580	0.65420	0.52181	0.66269	0.27747	0.37673
agegrp	= 75+,	sex =	Femal	Le						
agegrp 	= 75+, end	sex =	Femal	Le	ср	 F	cp. e2	cr e2	ci dc	
agegrp start	= 75+, end	sex = n	Femal d	Le W	ср	F	cp_e2	cr_e2	ci_dc	ci_dc
agegrp start 0	= 75+, end	sex = n 512	Fema] d 68	Le w 0	cp 0.86719	F 0.13281	cp_e2 0.91552	cr_e2 0.94721	ci_dc 0.05056	ci_dc 0.08225
agegrp start 0 1	= 75+, end 1 2	sex = n 512 _444	Fema] d 68 75	Le W 0 47	cp 0.86719 0.71252	F 0.13281 0.28748	cp_e2 0.91552 0.83184	cr_e2 0.94721 0.85655	ci_dc 0.05056 0.12977	ci_dc 0.08225 0.15772
agegrp start 0 1 2	= 75+, end 1 2 3	sex = n 512 444 322	Fema] d 68 75 50	le W 0 47 32	cp 0.86719 0.71252 0.59609	F 0.13281 0.28748 0.40391	cp_e2 0.91552 0.83184 0.75041	cr_e2 0.94721 0.85655 0.79436	ci_dc 0.05056 0.12977 0.17897	ci_dc 0.08225 0.15772 0.22494
agegrp start 1 2 3	= 75+, end 1 2 3 4	sex = n 512 444 322 240	Fema d 68 75 50 39	Le W 0 47 32 27	cp 0.86719 0.71252 0.59609 0.49345	F 0.13281 0.28748 0.40391 0.50655	cp_e2 0.91552 0.83184 0.75041 0.67530	cr_e2 0.94721 0.85655 0.79436 0.73072	ci_dc 0.05056 0.12977 0.17897 0.22433	ci_do 0.08225 0.15772 0.22494 0.28221

(b) How is the probability of death due to all causes, F, calculated?

This is just 1 - the survival function, i.e. 1-cp.

(c) Why is the crude probability of death due to cancer, ci_dc similar to the all-cause probability of death for subjects aged 0-44?

. use grouped, clear (Collapsed (or grouped) survival data)

. list agegrp start end sex F ci_dc if agegrp == 0 & sex == 1, noobs

4						
	agegrp	start	end	sex	F	' ci_dc
	0-44	0	1	Male	0.04655	0.04389
	0-44	2	2	Male	0.11070	0.12194
	0-44 0-44	3 4	4 5	Male Male	0.17297 0.18898	0.16216 0.17537
+	+					+

They are similar as there is low probability that subjects of this age will die from other causes. Thus, if they die it is highly likely to be due to cancer.

(d) For both males and females aged 60-74 what is the probability of death due to all causes at 5 years post diagnosis? What two variables can be added together to give the probability of death due to all-causes?}

. li	st	end	agegrp	sex F	ci_dc	ci_do	if	agegrp	== 2	& end	==	5
	+-	end	agegrp)	sex		F	ci_dc		ci_do	-+ -1	
25.		5	60-74	I	Male	0.390	50	0.23821	0	. 15230		

The probability of death due to all causes is 0.39 for males and 0.28 for females. With crude mortality we partition the all-cause probability of death into that due to cancer and that due to other cause. Thus $F = ci_dc + ci_do$.

(e) What proportion of the all-cause deaths at 5 years post diagnosis are due to cancer and due to other causes for males? Compare these figures for the different age groups.

```
. gen prob_c = ci_dc / F
. gen prob_o = ci_do / F
. list end agegrp sex F ci_dc ci_do prob_c prob_o ///
>
     if end == 5 & sex == 1, noobs
 +-----+
 | end agegrp sex F ci_dc ci_do prob_c prob_o |
 |-----|
  5 0-44 Male 0.18898 0.17537 0.01361 .92796 .0720402 |
 | 5 45-59 Male 0.24403 0.19912 0.04491 .8159498 .1840501 |
   5 60-74 Male 0.39050 0.23821 0.15230 .6100003 .3899997 |
 5 75+ Male 0.65420 0.27747 0.37673 .4241378 .5758622 |
 +------
```

In the youngest age group 93% of the deaths are associated with a diagnosis of cancer at 5 years poist diagnosis. In the oldest agegroup the figure is 42%. This is due to increased probability of dying from other causes in the oldest age group.

(f) The age groups are fairly wide, explain how you would expect the crude probability of death due to cancer to differ between a 60 and 74 year old, even if the relative survival was identical.

Since the probability of death due to other cause is higher for a 74 year old than for a 60 year old then if relative survival was identical we would expect the actual probability of death due to cancer to be lower for someone aged 74 than a 60 year old.

(g) Plot the net probability of death, the crude probability of death due to cancer and the overall probability of death for males by age group. Try to understand the relationship between these various measures.

```
. gen net = 1- cr_e2
. twoway (line F net ci_dc end if sex == 1, sort ), by(agegrp) ///
> legend(order(1 "Overall" 2 "Net" 3 "Crude") cols(3)) ///
> ylabel(0(0.1)0.6, angle(h) format(%3.1f)) ///
> ytitle("Probability of Death")
```



Figure 1: Melanoma Data. All cause, Net and Crude Probability of Death due to cancer.

Very little difference between the estimates in youngest age group. Increasing separation as age increases due to increased contribution of deaths due to other causes.

251. Probability of death in a competing risks framework (relative survival model)

In exercise 250 we explored how one could estimate crude probabilities of death based on life table estimates of relative survival making use of the **strs** implementation of the approach proposed by Cronin and Feuer (2000) [7]. Lambert *et al.* (2010) [8] subsequently showed how the estimates can be obtained after fitting a relative survival model, namely a flexible parametric models for relative survival, which use restricted cubic splines for the baseline cumulative excess hazard and for any time-dependent effects. Although the two approaches estimate the same quantity, the life table approach provides estimates for grouped data so we get an estimated probability for an age group rather than an estimate for a specific age as can be obtained in the model-based approach.

(a) Load the Melanoma data and merge in the background mortality rates as in question ??. Fit a flexible parametric relative survival model including age group with time-dependent effects.

```
. tab agegrp, gen(agegrp)
. stpm2 agegrp2-agegrp4, scale(hazard) bhazard(rate) df(5) ///
        tvc(agegrp2-agegrp4) dftvc(2)
```

Calculate the estimated net probability of death (1 - relative survival) and plot the four curves on a single graph. Interpret the plot.

(b) Use the standsurv command to estimate the crude probability of death. Note that standsurv will predict for individual covariate patterns and for specific ages at diagnosis. Perform the predictions for males aged 40, 55, 70 and 80 diagnosed in 1985. As we are only making predictions for one individual, we need to create a variable with age at diagnosis and date at diagnosis for the healthy individual to match to. This is used as the prediction for the expected survival. We also define a user-defined mata function calc_allcause to calculate the all-cause failure function as a sum of the two at() options. The prediction for a 40 year old (the first age group) can be obtained using,

```
. mata function calc_allcause(at) return(at[1]+at[2])
. range temptime2 0 5 101
. gen aged = .
. gen dated = mdy(1, 1, 1985) in 1
. replace aged = 40 in 1
. standsurv if _n==1, at1(sex 1 agegrp2 0 agegrp3 0 agegrp4 0) ///
  verbose timevar(temptime2) ///
>
>
   atvar(crprob1) crudeprob stub2(cancer other) ///
>
   expsurv(using("Z:\cansurv\data\popmort.dta") ///
>
           datediag(dated)
                                          111
>
           agediag(aged)
                                         111
>
           pmrate(rate)
                                                111
>
                                                        111
           pmage(_age)
>
                                        111
           pmyear(_year)
>
           pmother(sex)
                                                111
>
           pmmaxyear(1985)
                                             111
>
           at1(sex 1))
                                                                 111
>
  userfunction(calc_allcause) ///
   userfunctionvar(allcause1) transform(none)
>
```

Plot the estimated crude probability of death due to cancer for each of the selected ages on the same graph. Contrast these with the estimated net probability of death from part (a).

- (c) Generate a similar plot but for the crude probability of death due to other causes.
- (d) A useful way of presenting crude probabilities is through stacked graphs.
 - i. Generate the stacked graphs for each of the selected ages. Use the solution Do file for help.
 - ii. Now overlay the net probability of death. Does it better illustrate the contrast described in (b)?
- (e) Advanced: Now fit a model using splines for the effect age with the spline terms allowed to be time-dependent.
 - i. Calculate the crude probabilities of death and compare these to the model where age is categorized.
 - ii. Now calculate crude probabilities of death at individual ages from 40 to 90 years old at 5 years since diagnosis plot these over age. See do file for help. Hint: you will need to do a loop over 50 standsurv predictions.

260. Parametric cure models

- (a) _t contains the time in years from diagnosis. The strsmix command requires the expected mortality rate at the event time. The first gen command calculates the age at the event (or censoring) time (up to a maximum age of 99). The second gen command calculates the calender year at the event time. The third gen command converts the expected survival probability into the expected mortality rate.
- (b) Fitting this model gives
 - . strsmix if year8594==0, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate)

Log likelihood	d = −9988.71	9		Numb Wald Prob	er of obs = . chi2(0) = > chi2 =	6477
t	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
pi _cons	 .4151695	.0081152	51.16	0.000	.399264	.431075
ln_lambda _cons	 1694096	.0257529	-6.58	0.000	2198843	1189348
ln_gamma _cons	 1783506	.0166044	-10.74	0.000	2108946	1458066

i. The cure fraction is 0.415 (i.e. 41.5%).



Figure 2: Relative survival in 1975-1984 for cancer of the colon

ii. Yes the relative survival curves reaches a plateau at the cure fraction. Note that if this did not appear to be the case then the cure fraction estimate would be based on extrapolation beyond the range of follow-up in the data.

40



Figure 3: Relative survival for the 'uncured' in 1975-1984 for cancer of the colon

iii. Approximately 80% of the 'uncured' have died after 2 years.

iv. Median survival for the 'uncured' is approximately 0.8 years

(c) Now fitting to those diagnosed 1985-1994.

. strsmix if year8594==1, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate)

Log lik	elihood	. = -11339.86:	1		Numbe Wald Prob	er of obs = chi2(0) = > chi2 =	9087
	t	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
 рі		.46044	.0087593	52.57	0.000	.4432721	.4776078
ln_lamb	da _cons	2648208	.0292473	-9.05	0.000	3221445	2074972
ln_gamm	a _cons	2101828	.0163283	-12.87	0.000	2421857	1781799

i. The cure fraction is now 0.459 (i.e 45.9%) - a difference of 4.5%.



Figure 4: Relative survival in 1985-1984 for cancer of the colon

ii. Yes, the relative survival cure reaches a plateau.



Figure 5: Relative survival for the 'uncured' in 1975-1984 for cancer of the colon

- iii. At two years about 75% of the 'uncured' have died after 2 years. A reduction of about 5% in absolute terms.
- iv. The median survival of the 'uncured' is about 0.9 years, a slight improvement.
- (d) Including year8594 as a covariate gives

. strsmix year8594, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate)

Log likelihoo	d = −21332.0	5		Numb Wald Prob	er of obs chi2(1) > chi2	= = =	15564 38.51 0.0000
t	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% (Conf.	Interval]
pi year8594 _cons	 .0618817 .4090526	.0099714 .0078184	6.21 52.32	0.000	.0423	338 288	.0814254 .4243765
ln_lambda _cons	 2110754	.0191294	-11.03	0.000	24856	684	1735825
ln_gamma _cons	 1925967	.0115469	-16.68	0.000	21522	282	1699652

- i. The estimated difference in the cure fraction is 0.062 (i.e. 6.2%). This is larger than the difference observed in b(i) and c(i).
- ii. The assumption is that the survival distribution of the 'uncured' is the same in the two periods. This is because λ and γ do not vary by our covariate (year8594).

Allowing both λ and γ to vary by <code>year8594</code> gives

```
. strsmix year8594, dist(weibull) link(identity) bhazard(rate) ///
k1(year8594) k2(year8594)
```

Log likelihood = -21328.58					Number of obs = 15564 Wald chi2(1) = 14.37 Prob > chi2 = 0.0001			
t	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Con	f. Interval]		
pi								
year8594	.0452705	.0119408	3.79	0.000	.0218671	.068674		
_cons	.4151695	.0081152	51.16	0.000	.399264	.431075		
ln_lambda	 							
year8594	0954111	.0389694	-2.45	0.014	1717897	0190325		
_cons	1694096	.0257529	-6.58	0.000	2198843	1189348		
ln_gamma	 							
year8594	0318322	.0232878	-1.37	0.172	0774754	.013811		
_cons	1783506	.0166044	-10.74	0.000	2108946	1458066		

- iii. The difference in the cure fraction is 0.045 (i.e. 4.5%). This gives the same as we observed when fitting two separate models, as this is essentially what we are doing by including year8594 for all 3 parameters. If the distribution of the 'uncured' is not modelled appropriately then biased estimates of the cure fraction may be obtained.
- iv. Using a Wald test gives

. test [ln_lambda][year8594] [ln_gamma][year8594], mtest

(1) (2)	[ln_lambda]year8594 = 0 [ln_gamma]year8594 = 0							
		chi2	df	р				
(1) (2)		6.00 1.83	1 1	0.0143 # 0.1761 #				
all		6.84	2	0.0328				
	# unadjusted p-values							

There is evidence that the survival distribution of the 'uncured' differs between the two time periods.

(e) This model can be fitted using the xi prefix command.

```
. tab agegrp, gen(cage)
```

```
strsmix year8594 cage1 cage2 cage3 cage4, dist(weibull) link(logit) ///
bhazard(rate) k1(year8594 cage1 cage2 cage3 cage4) cage4) ///
k2(year8594 cage1 cage2 cage3 cage4) eform
```

Log likelihood = -21088.807					Number of obs = Wald chi2(4) = Prob > chi2 =		
_t	exp(b)	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
pi l							
year8594	1.231615	.0573756	4.47	0.000	1.124142	1.349363	
cage2	.903997	.0879128	-1.04	0.299	.7471167	1.093819	
cage3	.7988555	.072884	-2.46	0.014	.6680492	.9552742	
cage4	.869293	.080983	-1.50	0.133	.7242167	1.043431	
_cons	.891236	.0760408	-1.35	0.177	.7539937	1.053459	
ln_lambda							
year8594	1118244	.0392174	-2.85	0.004	188689	0349597	
cage2	.0856077	.084418	1.01	0.311	0798484	.2510639	
cage3	.2501009	.0791222	3.16	0.002	.0950243	.4051775	
cage4	1.00063	.0845808	11.83	0.000	.8348543	1.166405	
_cons	5465794	.0750655	-7.28	0.000	6937052	3994537	
ln_gamma							
year8594	0241314	.0224827	-1.07	0.283	0681968	.019934	
cage2	0614646	.056022	-1.10	0.273	1712656	.0483365	
cage3	1322088	.0518933	-2.55	0.011	2339179	0304997	
cage4	1330111	.0527858	-2.52	0.012	2364693	0295528	
_cons	0000647	.0498729	-0.00	0.999	0978138	.0976845	

i. The parameter estimates for the cure fraction are now odds ratios. Thus the odds of cure are 23% higher in 1985-1994 when compared to 1975-1984. For age group 0-44 is the reference category. The odds of cure are 10% lower in the 45-59 age group, 21% lower in the 60-74 age group and 14% lower in the 75+ age group. Only the 60-84 age group is significant at the 5% level. The needs to be a degree

of caution here as the Weibull cure models tends to not fit well to the oldest age group and more complex models may be necessary.

ii. The predicted median survival for the 'uncured' is obtained using

```
. predict med, centile
```

```
. bysort agegrp year8594: gen flag = (_n==1)
. list agegrp year8594 med if flag==1, noobs
 +----+
 | agegrp year8594 med |
 |-----|
  0-44 Diagnosed 75-84 1.197311 |
 0-44 Diagnosed 85-94 1.3485631 |
 45-59 Diagnosed 75-84 1.105672 |
 45-59 Diagnosed 85-94 1.2519877 |
 | 60-74 Diagnosed 75-84 .92317295 |
           -----|
 |-----
 60-74 Diagnosed 85-94 1.0500786 |
    75+
        Diagnosed 75-84 .39166079 |
 T
    75+
        Diagnosed 85-94 .43631407 |
 T
    -----+
 +-
```

This table shows how median survival increases with time period in each age group. In addition median survival for the 'uncured' decreases with age.

261. Estimating cure models using flexible parametric survival models

```
(a)
   . stpm2 year8594, df(6) bhazard(rate) scale(hazard) cure
              \log likelihood = -21851.481
  Iteration 0:
  Iteration 1:
              \log likelihood = -21147.216
  Iteration 2: log likelihood = -21095.674
  Iteration 3:
              \log likelihood = -21095.385
              \log likelihood = -21095.385
  Iteration 4:
  Log likelihood = -21095.385
                                          Number of obs =
                                                             15564
   Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
   _____+
  xb
            1
      year8594 | -.1556103 .025088 -6.20 0.000 -.2047819 -.1064388
        _rcs1 | .9889082 .0117887 83.89 0.000 .9658028 1.012014
_rcs2 | .0353623 .006665 5.31 0.000 .022299 .0484255
               .0353623 .006665 5.31 0.000
.0684074 .0045871 14.91 0.000
                                               .022299 .0484255
        _rcs2 |
                                              .0594168
.0453896
.0347319
                                                          .077398
        _rcs3 |
        _rcs4 | .0530653 .0039162 13.55 0.000
                                                           .060741
        _rcs5 | .0410339 .0032154 12.76 0.000
                                                          .0473359
        _rcs6 | (omitted)
        _cons | -.1110995 .0197347 -5.63 0.000 -.1497788 -.0724201
            _____
```

- i. The coefficient -.1556103 is the log-hazard ratio (HR = 0.86) comparing the second period to the first.
- ii. The cure proportion for the first period is $\exp(-\exp(-.1110995)) = .40866901$, and for the second period $\exp(-\exp(-.1110995 - .1556103)) = .4649175$.

```
iii.
```

. predict cure1, cure

. list cure1 if year8594==0, constant

+----+
cure1
.408669
+----+
(no variables vary in 6477 observations)
. list cure1 if year8594==1, constant
+----+
cure1

.46491749
+----+
(no variables vary in 9087 observations)

iv. The estimated difference in the cure fraction is 0.056 (i.e. 5.6%) compared to 0.062 (i.e. 6.2%) in exercise 260.

v. The predicted median survival times are similar in the two groups, but not the same. The flexible parametric cure model is a special case of a non-mixture model. Non-mixture cure models use both the estimated cure proportions and the specified distribution function to estimate the survival function of uncured, which will lead to different survival even when no time-dependent effects are modelled.

. predict med1, centile(50) uncured . list med1 if year8594==0, constant +----+ | med1 | |-----| | .75329265 | +----+ (no variables vary in 6477 observations) . list med1 if year8594==1, constant +----+ 1 med1 | |-----| | .80035703 | +----+ (no variables vary in 9087 observations) (b) . stpm2 year8594, df(6) tvc(year8594) dftvc(4) bhazard(rate) scale(hazard) cure Iteration 0: log likelihood = -21848.799 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -21144.251 Iteration 2: log likelihood = -21092.538 Iteration 3: log likelihood = -21092.239 Iteration 4: log likelihood = -21092.239 Log likelihood = -21092.239Number of obs = 15564 _____ Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval] 1 xb year8594 | -.1492647 .0269617 -5.54 0.000 -.2021086 -.0964208 _rcs1 | 1.006746 .0177333 56.77 0.000 .9719896 1.041503 +.12 0.000 10.64 0.000 8.53 ^ _rcs2 | .0447082 .0094731 .0261413 .0632751 .0565229 _rcs3 | .0692846 .0065112 .0820462 .0493157 .0057847 .0606535 _rcs4 | _rcs5 | .0309262 .0384908 .0038595 9.97 0.000 .0460553 _rcs6 | (omitted) _rcs_y~85941 | -.0329169 .0238804 -1.38 0.168 -.0797216 .0138878 _rcs_y~85942 | -.0137549 .0135084 -1.02 0.309 -.0402309 .0127211 _rcs_y~85943 | .0100166 .0086015 1.16 0.244 -.0068419 .0268752 _rcs_y~85944 | (omitted) .0202657 -5.59 0.000 _cons | -.1131936 -.1529136 -.0734736 _____

- i. The coefficient is no longer interpreted as the log-hazard ratio since the hazard ratio is varying over time.
- ii. The cure proportion for the first period is $\exp(-\exp(-.1131936)) = 0.40943474$, and for the second period $\exp(-\exp(-.1131936 - .1492647)) = 0.46340289$.
- iii.
 - . predict cure2, cure

```
. list cure2 if year8594==0, constant
 +----+
 cure2 |
 |-----|
 | .40943473 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 6477 observations)
. list cure2 if year8594==1, constant
 +----+
 1
     cure2 |
 |-----|
 | .46340288 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 9087 observations)
```

- iv. The estimated difference in the cure fraction is 0.054 (i.e. 5.4%), very similar to the result in a.
- v. The difference in the predicted median survival times between the two groups is larger than in a, since we are now allowing more flexibility into the estimation.

```
. predict med2, centile(50) uncured
. list med2 if year8594==0, constant
 +----+
 med2 |
 |-----|
 | .7406603 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 6477 observations)
. list med2 if year8594==1, constant
 +----+
     med2 |
 1
 |-----|
 | .81717336 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 9087 observations)
```

(c) The flexible parametric cure model forces the cumulative excess hazard to be constant after the last knot, and therefore the relative survival is forced to reach a plateau. The assumption of cure should always be checked in a model that does not assume cure or by looking at empirical life table estimates.

```
. predict surv, survival
. predict survunc, survival uncured
. forvalues j=0/1 {
    twoway (line surv _t if year8594=='j', sort) ///
        (line survunc _t if year8594=='j', sort), ///
        legend(label(1 "Survival overall") ///
        label(2 "Survival for uncured")) name(period'j', replace)
}
```



Figure 6: Relative survival overall and for the 'uncured' in 1975-1984 for cancer of the colon



Figure 7: Relative survival overall and for the 'uncured' in 1985-1994 for cancer of the colon

282. Calculating excess and 'avoidable' deaths from life tables.

- (a) Load the Melanoma data, drop subjects diagnosed 1975-1984.
- (b) What is the difference in five-year relative survival between males and females in each age group?

```
. list agegrp sex cr_e2 if end == 5, noobs sepby(agegrp)
 +----+
 | agegrp sex cr_e2 |
 |-----|
  0-44 Male 0.8236 |
 1
  0-44 Female 0.9233 |
 1
 |-----|
  45-59 Male 0.7969 |
 1
 | 45-59 Female 0.8740 |
 |-----|
 | 60-74 Male 0.7413 |
 | 60-74 Female 0.7958 |
 |-----|
    75+ Male 0.6627 |
 | 75+ Female 0.7006 |
+-----+
```

Five year relative survival is lower for males in all age groups.

(c) Reshape the data.

Number of obs.	40	->	20	
Number of variables	9	->	15	
j variable (2 values) xij variables:	sexlab	->	(dropped)	
	start	->	<pre>start_f start_m</pre>	
	end	->	end_f end_m	
	n	->	n_f n_m	
	ср	->	cp_f cp_m	
	cp_e2	->	cp_e2_f cp_e2_m	
	cr_e2	->	cr_e2_f cr_e2_m	
	agegrp	->	agegrp_f agegrp_m	

. rename agegrp_m agegrp

- . rename start_m start
- . rename end_m end
- . drop agegrp_f start_f end_f
- (d) For males, calculate the expected number of all-cause deaths, Nd_m, the expected number of deaths if the study population were free of cancer, NExp_d_m and the excess deaths associated with a diagnosis of cancer, ED_m.

. bys agegrp: gen Nrisk_m = $n_m[1]/10$

- $. gen p_dead_m = 1 cp_e2_m * cr_e2_m$
- . gen Nd_m = Nrisk_m*p_dead_m
- . gen NExp_d_m = Nrisk_m*(1-cp_e2_m)
- . gen $ED_m = Nd_m NExp_d_m$
- . format Nd_m NExp_d_m ED_m %4.1f
- . list agegrp Nrisk_m p_dead_m Nd_m NExp_d_m ED_m if end==5, noobs

 -	agegrp	Nrisk_m	p_dead_m	Nd_m	NExp_d_m	ED_m
ï	0-44	53.7	1889797	10.1	0.8	9.3
i	45-59	75.2	.2440302	18.4	3.9	14.5
İ	60-74	70.9	.3905036	27.7	12.6	15.1
I	75+	33.7	.6542017	22.0	16.1	5.9
+-						+

. table agegrp if end == 5, c(sum Nd_m sum NExp_d_m sum ED_m) row format(%4.1f)

_m agegrp		<pre>sum(Nd_m)</pre>	<pre>sum(NExp_d_m)</pre>	<pre>sum(ED_m)</pre>
0-44 45-59		10.1 18.4	0.8 3.9	9.3 14.5
60-74	1	27.7	12.6	15.1
75+		22.0	16.1	5.9
Total	Ι	78.2	33.4	44.8

- i. We would expect to see 10, 18, 28 and 22 all cause deaths in the (ascending) age groups.
- ii. This is given by the excess deaths, ED_m. In ascending age groups there are 9, 14, 15, and 6 excess deaths at 5 years post diagnosis when compared to a similar cancer free population. This is for a typical cohort diagnosed in one calendar year.
- iii. There are 45 excess deaths when compared to the general population.
- (e) Repeat calculations for females.

```
. bys agegrp: gen Nrisk_f = n_f[1]/10
```

```
. gen p_dead_f = 1 - cp_e2_f * cr_e2_f
```

```
. gen Nd_f = Nrisk_f*p_dead_f
```

- . gen NExp_d_f = Nrisk_f*(1-cp_e2_f)
- . gen $ED_f = Nd_f NExp_d_f$

```
. format Nd_f NExp_d_f ED_f %4.1f
```

```
. list agegrp Nrisk_f p_dead_f Nd_f NExp_d_f ED_f if end==5, noobs
```

	agegrp	Nrisk_f	p_dead_f	Nd_f	NExp_d_f	ED_f
ï	0-44	62 /	081/015	51	03	1 8 I
÷	15-50	61 0	1/2102/	0.1	1.0	761
-	40-09	66 1	.1431934	0.0 10 E	1.2	10.01
	60=74	00.1	.2800009	10.5	0.3	12.2
	75+	51.2	.5766043	29.5	20.3	9.3

+-----+

. table agegrp if end == 5, c(sum Nd_f sum NExp_d_f sum ED_f) row format(%4.1f)

_m agegrp	<pre>sum(Nd_f)</pre>	<pre>sum(NExp_d_f)</pre>	<pre>sum(ED_f)</pre>
0-44	5.1	0.3	4.8
45-59	8.8	1.2	7.6
60-74	18.5	6.3	12.2
75+	29.5	20.3	9.3
 Total	61.9	28.1	33.8

In terms of the total number of all cause deaths, females have fewer at all ages except the 70+ group. This is because they are more females diagnosed in this group 51 vs 34, so even though females have lower relative survival they have more deaths due to a number of women in the oldest age groups being diagnosed. This leads to there being more excess deaths in this age group for women when compared to men. As a whole there are more excess deaths in men.

- (f) How many deaths would be 'avoided' if males could achieve the same relative survival as females for Melanoma?
 - . gen Nd_m_f = Nrisk_m*(1 cp_e2_m * cr_e2_f)
 . gen AD_m = Nd_m Nd_m_f
 - 0
 - . format Nd_m_f AD_m %4.1f
 - . list agegrp Nrisk_m p_dead_m Nd_m NExp_d_m ED_m Nd_m_f AD_m if end==5, noobs

' -	agegrp	Nrisk_m	p_dead_m	Nd_m	NExp_d_m	ED_m	Nd_m_f	AD_m
	0-44	53.7	.1889797	10.1	0.8	9.3	4.9	5.3
	45-59 60-74	75.2 70.9	.2440302 .3905036	$\frac{18.4}{27.7}$	3.9 12.6	$14.5 \\ 15.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.9 \\ 24.5 \end{array}$	5.5 3.2
	75+	33.7	.6542017	22.0	16.1	5.9	21.4	0.7

There would be about 15 deaths 'avoided'. The youngest two age groups contribute most to the avoidable deaths.

(g) List the avoidable deaths for the oldest age group over all follow-up times. Why are the number of avoidable deaths decreasing as follow-up time increases?

. list	z agegrp	end AD_r	n if age	egrp==3
+	+		+	
	agegrp	end	AD_m	
16.	75+	1	1.4	
17.	75+	2	2.2	
18.	75+	3	2.1	
19.	75+	4	1.2	
20.	75+	5	0.7	
-	+		+	

This is because we can not avoid deaths for ever. Remember that we are looking at all cause deaths. If we had unlimited follow-up we would avoid no deaths at all. In the oldest age group we can actually see that we are just postponing deaths.

284. Estimating loss in expectation of life

(a) Load the Melanoma data and stset the data for relative survival.

```
. use melanoma, clear
(Skin melanoma, diagnosed 1975-94, follow-up to 1995)
. gen patid = _n
. stset surv_mm, failure(status=1 2) scale(12) exit(time 120.5) id(patid)
            id: patid
    failure event: status == 1 2
obs. time interval: (surv_mm[_n-1], surv_mm]
exit on or before: time 120.5
  t for analysis: time/12
_____
   7775 total observations
     0 exclusions
_____
   7775 observations remaining, representing
   7775 subjects
   2777 failures in single-failure-per-subject data
43384.63 total analysis time at risk and under observation
                                    at risk from t =
                                                       0
                           earliest observed entry t =
                                                        0
                               last observed exit t = 10.04167
```

(b) Fit a flexible parametric model including year, age and sex. Include age and year as continuous variables using splines. Allow all covariates to have a time-dependent effect. Remember to merge on the expected mortality at the exit times.

```
. rcsgen age, df(4) gen(sag) orthog
Variables sag1 to sag4 were created
. rcsgen yydx, df(4) gen(syr) orthog
Variables syr1 to syr4 were created
. gen fem = sex==2
. gen _age = min(int(age + _t),99)
. gen _year = int(yydx + _t)
. sort _year sex _age
. merge m:1 _year sex _age using popmort, keep(match master) keepusing(rate)
   Result
                                # of obs.
   _____
                                      0
   not matched
                                  7,775 (_merge==3)
   matched
   _____
. drop _age _year _merge
. stpm2 sag1-sag4 syr1-syr4 fem, scale(hazard) df(5) bhazard(rate) ///
               tvc(sag1-sag4 syr1-syr4 fem) dftvc(3)
```

SOLUTIONS

Log likelihood = -8444.5801			Number of obs = 7775			
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
xb						
sag1	.3486966	.0355765	9.80	0.000	.2789678	.4184253
sag2	0382469	.0368393	-1.04	0.299	1104506	.0339568
sag3	0826459	.0352677	-2.34	0.019	1517692	0135225
sag4	0171397	.0333635	-0.51	0.607	082531	.0482516
syr1	0064674	.1187121	-0.05	0.957	2391387	.226204
syr2	2522286	.1030806	-2.45	0.014	4542629	0501944
syr3	1413523	.0858927	-1.65	0.100	309699	.0269943
syr4	1155111	.0700542	-1.65	0.099	2528149	.0217927
fem	5220707	.0604833	-8.63	0.000	6406158	4035256
_rcs1	.9474817	.0781558	12.12	0.000	.7942992	1.100664
_rcs2	.1927113	.054332	3.55	0.000	.0862225	.2992001
_rcs3	.0568751	.0304669	1.87	0.062	0028389	.1165892
_rcs4	.0032183	.014089	0.23	0.819	0243957	.0308323
_rcs5	.0063443	.0052562	1.21	0.227	0039577	.0166462
_rcs_sag11	.0101007	.0305454	0.33	0.741	0497673	.0699687
_rcs_sag12	.0327253	.026622	1.23	0.219	0194529	.0849034
_rcs_sag13	.0204141	.0135927	1.50	0.133	006227	.0470553
_rcs_sag21	0382793	.0312975	-1.22	0.221	0996212	.0230626
_rcs_sag22	0024951	.0278919	-0.09	0.929	0571622	.0521719
_rcs_sag23	.0015633	.0139492	0.11	0.911	0257767	.0289032
_rcs_sag31	0148982	.0288652	-0.52	0.606	071473	.0416766
_rcs_sag32	.0178845	.025579	0.70	0.484	0322494	.0680183
_rcs_sag33	.0007745	.0129807	0.06	0.952	0246672	.0262163
_rcs_sag41	0217533	.0278767	-0.78	0.435	0763907	.0328841
_rcs_sag42	.0036575	.0247048	0.15	0.882	0447631	.0520781
_rcs_sag43	0002257	.0126263	-0.02	0.986	0249727	.0245214
_rcs_syr11	.1082871	.0951937	1.14	0.255	0782891	.2948633
_rcs_syr12	0912392	.0569474	-1.60	0.109	2028541	.0203757
_rcs_syr13	0598222	.0368902	-1.62	0.105	1321258	.0124813
_rcs_syr21	1088465	.0811995	-1.34	0.180	2679946	.0503015
_rcs_syr22	.0769735	.0481734	1.60	0.110	0174446	.1713916
_rcs_syr23	.0206394	.030727	0.67	0.502	0395845	.0808632
_rcs_syr31	1046798	.0660342	-1.59	0.113	2341045	.0247448
_rcs_syr32	.0236841	.0431332	0.55	0.583	0608553	.1082236
_rcs_syr33	.0266358	.0243036	1.10	0.273	0209984	.07427
_rcs_syr41	0203372	.0520008	-0.39	0.696	1222569	.0815826
_rcs_syr42	.0493604	.0349461	1.41	0.158	0191328	.1178536
_rcs_syr43	.0196377	.0188815	1.04	0.298	0173694	.0566448
_rcs_fem1	0019995	.0503392	-0.04	0.968	1006625	.0966635
_rcs_fem2	0844331	.0450417	-1.87	0.061	1727131	.003847
_rcs_fem3	0203553	.0212678	-0.96	0.339	0620393	.0213288
_cons	-1.378518	.0959111	-14.37	0.000	-1.5665	-1.190535

(c) We will now estimate the loss in expectation of life. To save time we don't estimate confidence intervals, although they can be obtained by removing the comments around the ci option.

. predict ll, lifelost mergeby(_year sex _age) diagage(age) diagyear(yydx) nodes(40) tinf(using(popmort) stub(surv) maxyear(2000) /*ci*/ >

(d) Create a graph that shows how the loss in expectation of life varies over age, for males diagnosed in 1994.



Figure 8: Melanoma Data. Loss in expectation of life

Figure 8 shows the loss in expectation of life for males diagnosed with melanoma in 1994.

(e) List the life expectancy and the loss in expectation of life for someone aged 50, 60, 70 and 80 at diagnosis, both males and females. Also calculate the total number of life years lost among patients diagnosed in 1994.

```
. foreach age in 50 60 70 80 {
2.
      foreach sex in 1 2 {
З.
           list age sex yydx survexp survobs ll if age=='age' & sex=='sex' & yydx==
4.
       }
5.}
 +--------+
     sex yydx survexp survobs
                             11 I
 age
  _____
         -----|
  50 Male 1994 26.63637 5.6614445 20.97493 |
 1
  _____
 (no variables vary in 5 observations)
                 -----+
       sex yydx survexp survobs
                              11 |
 | age
|-----|
 | 50 Female 1994 32.36633 7.2172614 25.14907 |
+-----+
(no variables vary in 3 observations)
+-----+
    sex yydx survexp survobs
                          11 |
 | age
 |-----
         -----|
  60 Male 1994 18.49159 5.1773682 13.31423 |
  -----+
```

(no variables vary in 8 observations)

+---------------+ | age sex yydx survexp survobs 11 | |-----| | 60 Female 1994 23.30669 6.8167728 16.48991 | ------(no variables vary in 8 observations) | age sex yydx survexp survobs 11 | |-----| | 70 Male 1994 11.53323 4.2612695 7.27196 | +-----+ (no variables vary in 4 observations) +-----+ | age sex yydx survexp survobs 11 | |------| 70 Female 1994 14.8622 5.8554623 9.006738 +--------+ (no variables vary in 9 observations) +-----+ | age sex yydx survexp survobs 11 | |------| | 80 Male 1994 6.431057 3.0075134 3.423544 | +------(no variables vary in 3 observations) _____ | age sex yydx survexp survobs 11 | |-----| | 80 Female 1994 8.000338 4.1340081 3.866329 | (no variables vary in 3 observations) . qui summ ll if yydx==1994 . display r(sum) 8767.1307

The total number of life years lost among patients diagnosed with melanoma in Finland in 1994 is 8767.

(f) Now estimate the loss in expectation of life if male patients had the same mortality due to melanoma as female patients, but the expected survival of males.

```
. replace fem=1
(3680 real changes made)
. predict ll_alt, lifelost mergeby(_year sex _age) diagage(age) diagyear(yydx) nodes(40) tinf
> using(popmort) stub(surv_alt) maxyear(2000) /*ci*/
```

(g) How many life years could potentially be saved if males diagnosed in 1994 had the same survival from melanoma as female patients diagnosed in 1994?

```
. gen lldiff= ll-ll_alt
```

```
. summ lldiff if yydx==1994
 Variable | Obs Mean Std. Dev. Min Max
_____+
  lldiff | 518 .6344759 .6386128 0 1.554199
. display r(sum)
328.6585
. foreach age in 50 60 70 80 {
 2. list ll ll_alt lldiff age if sex==1 & age=='age' & yydx==1994, constant
 3. }
 +----+
 | 11 11_alt 11diff age |
 |-----|
 | 20.97493 19.56192 1.41301 50 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 5 observations)
 +----+
 ll ll_alt lldiff age |
 |-----|
 | 13.31423 11.99303 1.3212 60 |
 +----+
 (no variables vary in 8 observations)
 +-----
 | 11 11_alt 11diff age |
|------|
 | 7.27196 6.200533 1.071427 70 |
 +-----+
 (no variables vary in 4 observations)
 +----+
 | 11 11_alt 11diff age |
 |-----|
 | 3.423544 2.734462 .6890819 80 |
 +----+
```

If males diagnosed in 1994 had the same relative survival as females diagnosed in 1994, the total number of life years lost would reduce by 328 years. For a man aged 50 at diagnosis the potential gain in life expectancy is 1.4 years (1.3, 1.1 and 0.7 years for males aged 60, 70 and 80 years at diagnosis, respectively).

References

- Dickman PW, Coviello E. Estimating and modelling relative survival. The Stata Journal 2015;15:186–215.
- [2] Lambert PC, Royston P. Further development of flexible parametric models for survival analysis. The Stata Journal 2009;9:265–290.
- [3] Andersson TML, Lambert PC. Fitting and modeling cure in population-based cancer studies within the framework of flexible parametric survival models. *The Stata Journal* 2012; 12:623–628.
- [4] Lambert PC. Modeling of the cure fraction in survival studies. The Stata Journal 2007; 7:351–375.
- [5] Hinchliffe SR, Lambert PC. Extending the flexible parametric survival model for competing risks. The Stata Journal 2013;13:344–355.
- [6] Corazziari I, Quinn M, Capocaccia R. Standard cancer patient population for age standardising survival ratios. *Eur J Cancer* 2004;40:2307–2316.
- [7] Cronin KA, Feuer EJ. Cumulative cause-specific mortality for cancer patients in the presence of other causes: a crude analogue of relative survival. *Statistics in Medicine* 2000; 19:1729–1740.
- [8] Lambert PC, Dickman PW, Nelson CP, Royston P. Estimating the crude probability of death due to cancer and other causes using relative survival models. *Statistics in Medicine* 2010;29:885 – 895.
- [9] Lambert PC, Dickman PW, Österlund P, Andersson TML, Sankila R, Glimelius B. Temporal trends in the proportion cured for cancer of the colon and rectum: a population-based study using data from the Finnish cancer registry. *International Journal of Cancer* 2007; 121:2052–2059.
- [10] Lambert PC, Thompson JR, Weston CL, Dickman PW. Estimating and modeling the cure fraction in population-based cancer survival analysis. *Biostatistics* 2007;8:576–594.
- [11] Belot A, Ndiaye A, Luque-Fernandez MA, Kipourou DK, Maringe C, Rubio FJ, Rachet B. Summarizing and communicating on survival data according to the audience: a tutorial on different measures illustrated with population-based cancer registry data. *Clinical Epidemiology* 2019;11:53–65.